## **Optical Networking / Experiences @ iGrid2002**

www.science.uva.nl/~delaat

Cees de Laat





(0 of 20)

## **Optical Networking / Experiences@ iGrid2002**

www.science.uva.nl/~delaat







(1 of 20)

What is this buzz about optical <sup>(2 of 20)</sup> networking

- What does the remark "bring us your Lambda's" mean?
- Networks are already optical for ages
- Almost all current projects are about SONET circuits and Ethernet (old wine in new bags?)
- Are we going back to the telecom world, do NRN's want to become telco's
- Does it scale / integrate
- Is it all about speed (swimming pool argument)

# VLBI

er term VLBI is easily capable of generating many Gb of data per

The sensitivity of the VLBI array scales v (rdata-rate) and there is a strong push to i Rates of 8Gb/s or more are entirely feasible iden development. It is expected that paraliprrelator will remain the most efficient approa s distributed processing may have an applilti-gigabit data streams will aggregate into la pr and the capacity of the final link to the da tor.



Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope -Netherlands



#### Know the user



**C** -> Special scientific applications, computing, data grids, virtual-presence

#### What the user



**C** -> Need very fat pipes, limited multiple Virtual Organizations, few to few

#### So what are the facts

- Costs of fat pipes (fibers) are one/third of equipment to light them up
  - Is what Lambda salesmen tell me
- Costs of optical equipment 10% of switching 10% of full routing equipment for same throughput
  - 100 Byte packet @ 10 Gb/s -> 80 ns to look up in 100 Mbyte routing table (light speed from me to you on the back row!)
- Big sciences need fat pipes
- Bottom line: create a hybrid architecture which serves all users in one consistent cost effective way



#### The only formula's

 $\#\lambda \approx \frac{200 * e^{(t-2002)}}{4}$ rtt Now, as having been a High Energy Physicist we set **c** = 1 **e** = 1  $\hbar = 1$ and the formula reduces to:  $\#\lambda \approx \frac{200 * e^{(t-2002)}}{}$ rtt

#### Services

	2	20	200
	Metro	National/	World
		regional	
Α	Switching/	Routing	<b>ROUTER\$</b>
	routing		
B	VPN's,	VPN's	Routing
	(G)MPLS	Routing	
С	da <mark>rk fi</mark> ber	Lambda	Sub-
(t-2002)	<b>Optical</b>	switching	lambdas,
$\#\lambda \approx \frac{200 * e^{(-2002)}}{2002}$	switching		ethernet-
rtt	)		sdh

#### Current technology + (re)definition

- Current (to me) available technology consists of SONET/SDH switches
- Changing very soon!, optical switch on the way!
- DWDM+switching coming up
- Starlight uses for the time being VLAN's on Ethernet switches to connect [exactly two] ports (but also routing)
- So redefine a  $\lambda$  as:

"a λ is a pipe where you can inspect packets as they enter and when they exit, but principally not when in transit. In transit one only deals with the parameters of the pipe: number, color, bandwidth"



Bring plumbing to the users, not just create sinks in the middle of nowhere

#### Distributed L2



(13 of 20)



lambda for high bandwidth applications

- Bypass of production network \_
- Middleware may request (optical) \_ pipe
- **RATIONALE:** 
  - Lower the cost of transport per \_ packet

#### Transport in the corners



(15 of 21)

# Early Lambda/LightPath usage experiences



# Layer - 2 requirements from 3/4





(**19 of 24**)

#### 5000 1 kByte UDP packets



(20 of 25)

#### Self-clocking of TCP



#### Forbidden area, solutions for s when f = 1 Gb/s, M = 0.5 Mbyte<sup>(21 of 25)</sup> AND NOT USING FLOWCONTROL



#### Daisy Chain control model of administrative domains





#### Multi domain case



#### iGrid2002

- www.igrid2002.org
- 25 demonstrations
- 16 countries (at least)
- Level3, Tyco, IEEAF Lambda's
- CISCO, Hp equipment sponsoring
- Shipping nightmare, debugging literally
- ~30 Gbit/s International connectivity
- Huge networking collaboration
- Smelly NOC in the iGrid preparation weekend



#### (24 of 25)





## GridFTP testcluster



#### Real Lambda's



In the hard states of the se Know En H. pland Oclaw 27/25 22 541 2002 Son Dixed CARM 124 ETT Star Switz Low 64.2 -3 -IDUAL -ID and Excertain T. Million P 11-11-0-1 In Inc 24 81 1155 3 IN CTICAL SYNC ---EST -SAME LIFED 1524 50000 - 1500 130 Clusters Unit could athe of 100 NICONST ? LOVAL N'S ZAN Z CATATIA 7 Sterios Talla · .... +1 (95A) = Zoms X's 4 105 - The same 4/ OTHERET 200mshi2 In all seal and the second s L. Shitzh Bay 725 78 Ogt Pater 2 sottly 100 00 2 PERTAL US Raiter 12 Pantalleser TAXABLE AND ADDRESS NA ST.







#### **Lessons learned**

- Most applications could not cope with the network!!!
- No bottleneck whatsoever in the network
- Many got about 50 100 mbit/s singlestream tcp
- On Sunday evening my laptop had the highest single stream to Chicago (~ 340 Mbit/s)
- NIC's, Linux implementation and timing problem
- Gridftp severely hit
- ~ 22 papers to be published

#### Revisiting the truck of tapes

**Consider one fiber** 

- •Current technology allows 320  $\lambda$  in one of the frequency bands
- •Each  $\lambda$  has a bandwidth of 40 Gbit/s
- •Transport:  $320 * 40 * 10^9 / 8 = 1600$  GByte/sec
- Take a 10 metric ton truck
  - •One tape contains 50 Gbyte, weights 100 gr
  - •Truck contains ( 10000 / 0.1 ) \* 50 Gbyte = 5 PByte
- Truck / fiber = 5 PByte / 1600 GByte/sec =  $3125 \text{ s} \approx \text{one hour}$
- For distances further away than a truck drives in one hour (50 km) minus loading and handling 100000 tapes the fiber wins!!!

(25 of 25)

# The END

Thanks to **TERENA:** David Williams SURFnet: Kees Neggers UIC&iCAIR: Tom DeFanti, Joel Mambretti **CANARIE:** Bill St. Arnaud This work is supported by: **SURFnet EU-IST** project DATATAG

