# Financial Stochastics 2002 Exercises

version March 19, 2002

- 1. Prove the strong law of large numbers for Brownian motion:  $\frac{W_t}{t} \to 0$ a.s. *Hint*: Use Doob's maximal inequality with p = 2 to deduce that  $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t_0 < t < t_1} \frac{W_t^2}{t^2} \le 4 \frac{t_1}{t_0^2}$  and then  $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{2^n < t < 2^{n+1}} \frac{|W_t|}{t} > \varepsilon) \le \frac{8}{\varepsilon^{22^n}}$ . Finish the proof by application of the Borel-Cantelli lemma.
- 2. Show that for Brownian motion (according to definition 2.1) it holds that  $W_t W_s$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}^W_s$  for all  $t \ge s$ . Hint: Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the union of all  $\sigma$ -algebras of the form  $\sigma(W_{s_1}, \ldots, W_{s_n})$ , where  $0 \le s_1 < \cdots < s_n \le s$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under finite intersections. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the sets in  $\mathcal{F}^W_s$  that are independent of  $W_t W_s$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a d-system that contains  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- 3. Let T be a stopping time that is a.s. finite. Define for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the random variables  $T_k$  by  $T_k = 2^{-k}[2^kT + 1]$  ([·] means integer part). Show that the  $T_k$  are stopping times as well and that  $T_k \downarrow T$  a.s.
- 4. Use the reflection principle to show that  $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \le s \le t} W_s > a) = 2\mathbb{P}(W_t > a)$  for all a > 0.
- 5. Show that for two stopping times S and T the identity  $\mathcal{F}_{S \wedge T} = \mathcal{F}_S \cap \mathcal{F}_T$  holds.
- 6. Let T be a finite stopping time and X an adapted cadlag process. Show that  $X_T$  is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable, if the underlying filtration contains all null sets of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

- 1. Show that every constant map  $T: \Omega \to [0, \infty), T = t_0$  say for some  $t_0$ , is a stopping time and that  $\mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$ . Show also that for a set  $F \in \mathcal{F}_t$  the random variable  $t \cdot 1_F + \infty \cdot 1_{F^c}$  is a stopping time.
- 2. Show that a map  $T : \Omega \to [0, \infty]$  is a stopping time w.r.t.  $\{\mathcal{F}_{t+}\}_{t\geq 0}$  iff  $\{T < t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .
- 3. Prove that for closed sets  $\Gamma$  and entirely continuous adapted processes X the random variables  $D_{\Gamma} = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \in \Gamma\}$  are stopping times.
- 4. Show that for a right continuous adapted process X, a stopping time T and a right continuous filtration we have that  $X_T$  is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable. *Hint:* Do this first for stopping times that take values in a countable set.
- 5. Show that a nonnegative local martingale M is a supermartingale. *Hint:* Use 'Fatou'.
- 6. Show that a martingale is a local martingale.
- 7. If T is s stopping time, then the process  $1_{(0,T]}$  is predictable. Show this, and deduce that all simple processes are predictable.
- 8. Show that for a martingale  $M \in \mathcal{M}_0^2$  and a simple process H the stochastic integral  $H \bullet M$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_0^2$  as well and that  $||H||_{[M]} = ||H \bullet M||_2$ . Prove that the last equality also holds for  $H \in \mathcal{L}^2(M)$ .
- 9. Show that  $L^2(M)$  is a Hilbert space.

- 1. Let  $M \in c\mathcal{M}_0^2$ . Show that the map  $I_M : L^2(M) \to c\mathcal{M}_0^2$  defined by  $I_M(H) = H \bullet M$  is linear.
- 2. Let T be a stopping time,  $M \in c\mathcal{M}_0^2$  and  $H \in L^2(M)$ . Show that  $(H \bullet M)^T = H1_{(0,T]} \bullet M$ .
- 3. Let  $M \in c\mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}$  and  $H \in \Pi(M)$ . Show that the definition of  $H \bullet M$  via stopping times is independent of the chosen sequence of stopping times.
- 4. We proved for a bounded  $X \in c\mathcal{S}$  the validity of the formula

$$X_t^2 = X_0^2 + 2\int_0^t X_u \, dX_u + [M]_t.$$

Show that it also holds for arbitrary  $X \in cS$ . Deduce now the (stochastic) product rule (integration by parts formula) for  $X, Y \in cS$ .

5. Let  $M \in c\mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}$  and  $Z = Z_0 \exp(M - \frac{1}{2}[M])$ , for some  $Z_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . Show the representation

$$Z_t = Z_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \, dM_s.$$
 (1)

Let  $Y = \frac{1}{Z}$ . Show that dY = -Y dM + Y d[M]. Let  $\tilde{Z}$  be any process that can be represented as in equation (1). Use the product rule to show that  $\tilde{Z}Y = 1$ , and hence that Z is the only 'solution' to (1).

- 6. (a) A process X is called progressive if for all t > 0 the maps  $X^t :$  $[0,t] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $X^t(s,\omega) = X(s,\omega)$  are  $\mathcal{B}([0,t]) \times \mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable. Show that a progressive process is adapted.
  - (b) Let X be an entirely right continuous adapted process and let  $t_k^n = kt2^{-n}$ . Define the processes  $X^{(n)}$  by

$$X^{(n)}(s,\omega) = \sum_{k} X(t_{k}^{n},\omega) \mathbf{1}_{(t_{k-1}^{n},t_{k}^{n}]}(s).$$

Show that  $X^{(n)}(s,\omega) \to X(s,\omega)$  for all  $(s,\omega) \in [0,t] \times \Omega$  and that  $(s,\omega) \mapsto X^{(n)}(s,\omega)$  is  $\mathcal{B}([0,t]) \times \mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for all n. Deduce that X is progressive.

7. Let X be a progressive process and T be a stopping time. Show (use that a composition of measurable maps is measurable itself) that the stopped process  $X^T$  is also progressive. Deduce that  $X_T$  is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable. We follow the notation and conventions of HK.

In all exercises of this week the basic setup is on the filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$  that is assumed to support a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion which, together with the  $\mathbb{P}$ -null sets of  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , generates the filtration  $\mathbb{F}$ . All semimartingales are supposed to be (at least) defined on this space.

1. Let Z be a positive continuous semimartingale with  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}|Z_t| < \infty$  for all t and define the pre-term structure by

$$D_{tT} = \frac{1}{Z_t} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Z_T | \mathcal{F}_t].$$

Show that with  $\tilde{Z}_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Z_t | \mathcal{F}_t^A]$  we get a *pricing kernel* term structure model for the collection of  $D_{tT}$ .

2. Show that the *unit-rolling numeraire* defined by

$$N_t^u = \frac{D_{t \lfloor t+1 \rfloor}}{\prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor t+1 \rfloor} D_{i-1,i}}$$

is indeed a numeraire. Find the corresponding self-financing portfolio and show that it is predictable.

3. Suppose that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a probability measure that is equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T$ (T > 0) with density process z, a positive  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$  martingale of the form  $z = \mathcal{E}(\mu)$ , where  $\mu$  is some  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -local martingale. We know that W := $W - [W, \mu]$  is Brownian motion under  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let M be an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q})$  martingale with  $M_0 = 0$ . Show that the martingale representation holds for M, i.e. there exists a predictable process H such that for all  $t \leq T$ 

$$M_t = \int_0^t H_s \, d\tilde{W}_s$$

*Hint:* Write  $M_t = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[M_T z_T | \mathcal{F}_t]}{z_t}$ . Apply the Itô-rule and the Martingale representation theorem for  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$  (local) martingales. Note: This result is used in example 8.11.

4. Consider the setting of theorem 8.18. Show that this generates a short rate model. So you have to show that  $r_t = -\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \log D_{t,t+h}$  (a.s.) and that  $D_{tT} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}[\exp(-\int_{t}^{T} r_{s} ds) | \mathcal{F}_{t}].$ Let for each T the process  $m_{T}$  be the martingale (under Z) defined by

 $m_{tT} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Z}}[\zeta_T | \mathcal{F}_t] \ (t \leq T).$  Show that  $D_{T}$  is a submartingale that satisfies

$$D_{tT} = D_{0T} + \int_0^t r_s D_{sT} \, ds + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\zeta_s} \, dm_{sT}.$$

Why do we call  $\mathbb{Z}$  the risk-neutral measure?

In all exercises of this week the basic setup is on the filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$  that is assumed to support a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion which, together with the  $\mathbb{P}$ -null sets of  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , generates the filtration  $\mathbb{F}$ . All semimartingales are supposed to be (at least) defined on this space.

1. Show that, in the context of theorem 8.21, the forward rates satisfy

$$df_{tT} = -\sigma_{tT} \Sigma_{tT} \, dt + \sigma_{tT} \, dW_t.$$

2. Let a and b be nonnegative measurable functions with  $\int_0^\infty a_s \, ds < \infty$  and  $\int_0^\infty b_s \, ds < \infty$ . Consider the Flesaker-Hughston term structure given by

$$D_{tT} = \frac{A_T + B_T M_t}{A_t + B_t M_t}$$

with  $A_t = \int_t^\infty a_s \, ds$ ,  $B_t = \int_t^\infty b_s \, ds$  and M a nonnegative positive martingale under some measure  $\mathbb{Z}$  (locally equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}$ ) with  $M_0 = 1$ .

- (a) Show that the above defines a pricing kernel term structure.
- (b) Investigate whether it is possible (by a change of measure) to get a Finite Variation Kernel term structure.
- (c) Investigate (add assumptions where necessary) whether we can cast this model as a Heath-Jarrow-Morton term structure.
- 3. Consider the Vasicek-Hull-White model with constant coefficients, so for the short rate r we have

$$dr_t = (\theta - ar_t) dt + \sigma dW_t,$$

where W is a Brownian motion under the risk neutral measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Compute the (non-random) constants  $A_{tT}$  and  $B_{tT}$  such that

$$D_{tT} = A_{tT} e^{-B_{tT} r_t}$$

4. Consider the Vasicek-Hull-White model:

$$dr_t = (\theta - ar_t) \, dt + \sigma \, dW_t,$$

where W is Brownian motion (under the risk neutral measure). Show the equality

$$D_{tT} = \frac{D_{0T}}{D_{0t}} \exp(B_{tT} f_{0t} - \frac{\sigma^2}{4a} B_{tT}^2 (1 - e^{-2at}) - B_{tT} r_t,$$

where the  $B_{tT}$  are as in exercise 3 and where  $f_{0t}$  is the forward rate over the interval [0, t]. (Notice that this expression doesn't explicitly depend on  $\theta$ , so that we may replace the contant  $\theta$  in the SDE for r by a function without changing the resulting formula for  $D_{tT}$ ). 5. Consider the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross model with constant coefficients, so for the short rate r we have

$$dr_t = (\theta - ar_t) dt + \sigma \sqrt{r_t} dW_t,$$

where W is a Brownian motion under the risk neutral measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Show that there exist (non-random) constants  $A_{tT}$  and  $B_{tT}$  such that

$$D_{tT} = A_{tT} e^{-B_{tT} r_t}.$$

(You may want to determine these constants, in which case you have to perform some tedious computations).

6. Consider the Vasicek-Hull-White model with constant coefficients, so for the short rate r we have

$$dr_t = (\theta - ar_t) dt + \sigma dW_t,$$

where W is a Brownian motion under the risk neutral measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Compute the coefficients in the Heath-Jarrow-Morton description of the associated forward rates.

7. (A version of the Stochastic Fubini theorem) Let T > 0 and for each  $s \in [0,T]$  the process  $H_{\cdot s}$  be adapted and assume that  $(s,t) \mapsto H_{ts}(\omega)$  is continuous for all  $\omega$ . Assume also that  $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T H_{ts} \, ds \, dt < \infty$ . Put for  $s, t \in [0,T]$ 

$$X_s = \int_0^T H_{ts} \, dW_t$$

and

$$Y_t = \int_0^T H_{ts} \, ds.$$

- (a) Show that  $X_s$  and  $Y_t$  are well defined.
- (b) Let  $0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_n = T$  and put  $H_{ts}^n = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{(t_{i-1},t_i]}(t) H_{t_{i-1}s}$ Show that

$$\int_0^T X_s^n \, ds = \int_0^T Y_t^n \, dW_t$$

with  $X_s^n = \int_0^T H_{ts}^n dW_t$  and  $Y_t^n = \int_0^T H_{ts}^n ds$ .

(c) Show that  $\int_0^T X_s \, ds = \int_0^T Y_t \, dW_t$ .