

# EXERCISES MEASURE THEORETIC PROBABILITY 2003-2004

## Week 1

1. Prove the following statements.
  - (a) The intersection of an arbitrary family of  $d$ -systems is again a  $d$ -system.
  - (b) The intersection of an arbitrary family of  $\sigma$ -algebras is again a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
  - (c) If  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  are collections of subsets of  $\Omega$  with  $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_2$ , then  $d(\mathcal{C}_1) \subset d(\mathcal{C}_2)$ .
2. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  be two  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{G \cap H : G \in \mathcal{G}, H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\pi$ -system and that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ .
3. Show that  $\mathcal{D}_2$  (Williams, page 194) is a  $\pi$ -system.
4. If  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are measurable functions, then  $h_1 h_2$  is measurable too.
5. Let  $\Omega$  be a countable set. Let  $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$  and let  $p : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfy  $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1$ . Put  $\mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Show that  $\mathbb{P}$  is a probability measure.
6. Let  $\Omega$  be a countable set. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of  $A \subset \Omega$  such that  $A$  or its complement has finite cardinality. Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra. What is  $d(\mathcal{A})$ ?

## Week 2

1. Let  $X$  be a random variable. Show that  $\Pi(X) := \{X^{-1}(-\infty, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a  $\pi$ -system and that it generates  $\sigma(X)$ .
2. Let  $\{Y_\gamma : \gamma \in C\}$  be an arbitrary collection of random variables and  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable collection of random variables, all defined on the same probability space.
  - (a) Show that  $\sigma\{Y_\gamma : \gamma \in C\} = \sigma\{Y_\gamma^{-1}(B) : \gamma \in C, B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ .
  - (b) Let  $\mathcal{X}_n = \sigma\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_n$ . Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra and that  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
3. Show that the  $X^+$  and  $X^-$  are measurable functions and that  $X^+$  is right-continuous and  $X^-$  is left-continuous (notation as in section 3.12).

4. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$  with the property that for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  it holds that  $\mathbb{P}(F) \in \{0, 1\}$ . Let  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. Show that for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  one has  $\mathbb{P}(X = c) = 1$ . (*Hint*:  $\mathbb{P}(X \leq x) \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $x$ .)
5. Let  $\Omega$  be a countable set. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of  $A \subset \Omega$  such that  $A$  or its complement has finite cardinality. Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra. What is  $d(\mathcal{A})$ ?
6. Show that a finitely additive map  $\mu : \Sigma_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is countably additive if  $\mu(H_n) \rightarrow 0$  for every decreasing sequence of sets  $H_n \in \Sigma_0$  with  $\bigcap_n H_n = \emptyset$ . If  $\mu$  is countably additive, do we necessarily have  $\mu(H_n) \rightarrow 0$  for every decreasing sequence of sets  $H_n \in \Sigma_0$  with  $\bigcap_n H_n = \emptyset$ ?

### Week 3

1. Let  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $f$  a nonnegative simple function. Show that  $\mu(f)$  doesn't depend on the chosen representation of  $f$ .
2. Show that the integral is a linear operator on  $\mathcal{L}^1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ .
3. Prove the second part of Scheffé's lemma (see page 55).
4. Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\mathbb{P}(|X| > n) = 0$ .
5. Prove the assertions (a)-(c) of section 6.5.
6. Prove lemma 6.12 (you find the 'standard machine' in section 5.12).

### Week 4

1. Williams, exercise E4.1.
2. Williams, exercise E4.6.
3. Prove part (b) of Fubini's theorem in section 8.2 for  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  (you already know it for  $f \in m\Sigma^+$ ). Explain why  $s_1 \mapsto f(s_1, s_2)$  is in  $\mathcal{L}^1(S_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$  for all  $s_2$  outside a set  $N$  of  $\mu_2$ -measure zero and that  $I_2^f$  is well defined on  $N^c$ .
4. If  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  is a sequence of nonnegative random variables, then

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} Z_k. \quad (1)$$

Show that this follows from Fubini's theorem. If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} Z_k < \infty$ , what is  $\mathbb{P}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_k = \infty)$ . Formulate a result similar to (1) for random variables  $Z_i$  that may assume negative values as well.

5. Let the vector of random variables  $(X, Y)$  have a joint probability density function  $f$ . Let  $f_X$  and  $f_Y$  be the (marginal) probability density functions of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Show that  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent iff  $f(x, y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$  for all  $x, y$  except in a set of  $\text{Leb} \times \text{Leb}$ -measure zero.
6. Let  $f$  be defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  the function  $y \mapsto f(a, y)$  is Borel and such that for all  $b \in \mathbb{R}^2$  the function  $x \mapsto f(x, b)$  is continuous. Show that for all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  the function  $(x, y) \mapsto bx + cf(a, y)$  is Borel-measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $a_i^n = i/n, i \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define

$$f^n(x, y) = \sum_i 1_{(a_{i-1}^n, a_i^n]}(x) \left( \frac{a_i^n - x}{a_i^n - a_{i-1}^n} f(a_{i-1}^n, y) + \frac{x - a_{i-1}^n}{a_i^n - a_{i-1}^n} f(a_i^n, y) \right).$$

Show that the  $f^n$  are Borel-measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and conclude that  $f$  is Borel-measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

## Week 5

1. Prove the conditional version of Fatou's lemma, property (f) on page 88 (Williams).
2. Prove the conditional Dominated Convergence theorem, property (g) on page 88 (Williams).
3. Let  $(X, Y)$  have a bivariate normal distribution with  $\mathbb{E}X = \mu_X, \mathbb{E}Y = \mu_Y, \text{Var}X = \sigma_X^2, \text{Var}Y = \sigma_Y^2$  and  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = c$ . Let

$$\hat{X} = \mu_x + \frac{c}{\sigma_Y^2}(Y - \mu_Y).$$

Show that  $\mathbb{E}(X - \hat{X})Y = 0$ . Show also (use a special property of the bivariate normal distribution) that  $\mathbb{E}(X - \hat{X})g(Y) = 0$  if  $g$  is a Borel-measurable function such that  $\mathbb{E}g(Y)^2 < \infty$ . Conclude that  $\hat{X}$  is a version of  $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$ .

## Week 6

1. Exercise E9.1 (Williams).
2. Exercise E9.2 (Williams)
3. Let  $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$  be uniformly integrable collections of random variables on a common probability space. Show that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathcal{C}_k$  is uniformly integrable. (In particular is a finite collection in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  uniformly integrable).
4. Williams, exercise E13.1.
5. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a uniformly integrable collection of random variables.

- (a) Consider  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ , the closure of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ . Use E13.1 to show that also  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  is uniformly integrable.
- (b) Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the convex hull of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then both  $\mathcal{D}$  and its closure in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  are uniformly integrable
6. In this exercise you prove (fill in the details) the following characterization: a collection  $\mathcal{C}$  is uniformly integrable iff there exists a function  $G : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(t)}{t} = \infty$  and  $M := \sup\{\mathbb{E}G(|X|) : X \in \mathcal{C}\} < \infty$ . The necessity you prove as follows. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  choose  $a = M/\varepsilon$  and  $c$  such that  $\frac{G(t)}{t} \geq a$  for all  $t > c$ . To prove uniform integrability of  $\mathcal{C}$  you use that  $|X| \leq \frac{G(|X|)}{a}$  on the set  $\{|X| \geq c\}$ . It is less easy to prove sufficiency. Proceed as follows. Suppose that we have a sequence  $(g_n)$  with  $g_0 = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = \infty$ . Define  $g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1_{[n, n+1)}(t)g_n$  and  $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s)ds$ . Check that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(t)}{t} = \infty$ . With  $a_n(X) = \mathbb{P}(|X| > n)$ , it holds that  $\mathbb{E}G(|X|) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n a_n(|X|)$ . Furthermore, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\int_{|X| \geq k} |X| d\mathbb{P} \geq \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} a_m(X)$ . Pick for every  $n$  a constant  $c_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\int_{|X| \geq c_n} |X| d\mathbb{P} \leq 2^{-n}$ . Then  $\sum_{m=c_n}^{\infty} a_m(X) \leq 2^{-n}$  and hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=c_n}^{\infty} a_m(X) \leq 1$ . Choose then the sequence  $(g_n)$  as the ‘inverse’ of  $(c_n)$ :  $g_n = \#\{k : c_k \leq n\}$ .
7. Prove that a collection  $\mathcal{C}$  is uniformly integrable iff there exists an *increasing and convex* function  $G : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(t)}{t} = \infty$  and  $M := \sup\{G(|X|) : X \in \mathcal{C}\} < \infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the closure of the convex hull of a uniformly integrable collection  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ . With the function  $G$  as above we have  $\sup\{\mathbb{E}G(|X|) : X \in \mathcal{D}\} = M$ , whence also  $\mathcal{D}$  is uniformly integrable.
8. Let  $p \geq 1$  and let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be random variables. Then  $X_n$  converges to  $X$  in  $\mathcal{L}^p$  iff the following two conditions are satisfied.
- (a)  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in probability,
- (b) The collection  $\{|X_n|^p : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is uniformly integrable.

## Week 7

In all exercises below we consider a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with a filtration  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- Let  $X$  be an adapted process and  $T$  a stopping time that is finite. Show that  $X_T$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. Show also that for arbitrary stopping times  $T$  (so the value infinity is also allowed) the stopped process  $X^T$  is adapted.
- For every  $n$  we have a measurable function  $f_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  be independent random variables and  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ . Show that (you may

assume sufficient integrability) that  $X_n = f_n(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$  defines a martingale under the condition that  $\mathbb{E}f_n(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, Z_n) = f_{n-1}(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$  for every  $n$ .

3. If  $S$  and  $T$  are stopping times, then also  $S + T$ ,  $S \vee T$  and  $S \wedge T$  are stopping times. Show this.
4. (a) If  $X$  is a martingale and  $f$  a convex function such that  $\mathbb{E}|f(X_n)| < \infty$ , then  $Y$  defined by  $Y_n = f(X_n)$  is a submartingale. Show this.  
 (b) Show that  $Y$  is a submartingale, if  $X$  is a submartingale and  $f$  is an increasing function.
5. Prove Corollaries (c) and (d) on page 101.
6. Let  $X$  be an adapted process and  $a < b$  real numbers. Let  $S_1 = \inf\{n : X_n < a\}$ ,  $T_1 = \inf\{n > S_1 : X_n > b\}$ , etc. Show that the  $S_k$  and  $T_k$  are stopping times. Show also that the process  $C$  of section 11.1 is previsible (synonymous for predictable).
7. Consider the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with  $\Omega = [0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  the Borelsets of  $[0, 1)$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  the Lebesgue measure. Let  $I_k^n = [k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})$  for  $k = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra by the  $I_k^n$  for  $k = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$ . Define  $X_n = 1_{I_0^n}$ . Show that  $X_n$  is a martingale and that the conditions of theorem 11.5 are satisfied. What is  $X_\infty$  in this case? Do we have  $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^1} X_\infty$ ? (This has something to do with 11.6).

## Week 8

In all exercises below we consider a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with a filtration  $\mathbb{F}$ .

1. Let  $Y \in \mathcal{L}^1$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  and define for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the random variable  $X_n = \mathbb{E}[Y | \mathcal{F}_n]$ . We know that there is  $X_\infty$  such that  $X_n \rightarrow X_\infty$  a.s. Show that for  $Y \in \mathcal{L}^2$ , we have  $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^2} X_\infty$ . Find a condition such that  $X_\infty = Y$ . Give also an example in which  $P(X_\infty = Y) = 0$ .
2. Let  $X = (X_n)_{n \leq 0}$  a (backward) supermartingale.
  - (a) Show equivalence of the next two properties:  
 (i)  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty$  and (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbb{E}X_n < \infty$ .  
 (Use that  $x \mapsto x^+$  is convex and increasing.)
  - (b) Under the condition  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}|X_n| =: A < \infty$  the supermartingale  $X$  is uniformly integrable. To show this, you may proceed as follows (*but other solutions are equally welcome*). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $K \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that for all  $n < K$  one has  $0 \leq \mathbb{E}X_n - \mathbb{E}X_K < \varepsilon$ . It is then sufficient to show that  $(X_n)_{n \leq K}$  is uniformly integrable. Let

$c > 0$  be arbitrary and  $F_n = \{|X_n| > c\}$ . Using the supermartingale inequality you show that

$$\int_{F_n} |X_n| d\mathbb{P} \leq \int_{F_n} |X_K| d\mathbb{P} + \varepsilon.$$

Because  $\mathbb{P}(F_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{c}$  you conclude the proof.

3. Finish the proof of theorem 14.11: Show that  $\|Z_n\|_p$  is increasing in  $n$  and that  $\|Z_\infty\|_p = \sup\{\|Z_n\|_p : n \geq 1\}$ .
4. Exercise E13.3.
5. Exercise E14.1.
6. Exercise E14.2.

## Week 9

In all exercises below we consider a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with a filtration  $\mathbb{F}$ .

1. Exercise 12.1.
2. Exercise 12.2
3. Let  $(H_n)$  be a predictable sequence of random variables with  $\mathbb{E} H_n^2 < \infty$  for all  $n$ . Let  $(\varepsilon_n)$  be a sequence with  $\mathbb{E} \varepsilon_n^2 = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \varepsilon_n = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_n$  independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$  for all  $n$ . Let  $M_n = \sum_{k \leq n} H_k \varepsilon_k$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Compute the conditional variance process  $A$  of  $(M_n)$ . Take  $p > 1/2$  and consider  $N_n = \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{1}{(1+A_k)^p} H_k \varepsilon_k$ . Show that there exists a random variable  $N_\infty$  such that  $N_n \rightarrow N_\infty$  a.s. Show (use Kronecker's lemma) that  $\frac{M_n}{(1+A_n)^p}$  has an a.s. finite limit.
4. Suppose that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that  $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$  with  $d\mathbb{Q}/d\mathbb{P} = M_\infty$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{P}_n$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_n$  the restrictions of  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Show that  $\mathbb{Q}_n \ll \mathbb{P}_n$  and that

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}_n}{d\mathbb{P}_n} = M_n,$$

where  $M_n = \mathbb{E}_P[M_\infty | \mathcal{F}_n]$ .

5. Let  $M$  be a nonnegative martingale with  $\mathbb{E} M_n = 1$  for all  $n$ . Define  $\mathbb{Q}_n(F) = \mathbb{E} 1_F M_n$  for  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Show that for all  $n$  and  $k$  one has  $\mathbb{Q}_{n+k}(F) = \mathbb{Q}_n(F)$  for  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . Assume that  $M$  is uniformly integrable. Show that there exists a probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n)$  that is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\mathbb{P}$  and that is such that for all  $n$  the restriction of  $\mathbb{Q}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_n$  coincides with  $\mathbb{Q}_n$ . Characterize  $d\mathbb{Q}/d\mathbb{P}$ .

6. Consider the set up of section 14.17 (Williams). Assume that

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \sqrt{\frac{g_k(X_k)}{f_k(X_k)}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose one observes  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Consider the testing problem  $H_0$ : the densities of the  $X_k$  are the  $f_k$  against  $H_1$ : the densities of the  $X_k$  are the  $g_k$  and the test that rejects  $H_0$  if  $M_n > c_n$ , where  $\mathbb{P}(M_n > c_n) = \alpha \in (0, 1)$  (likelihood ratio test). Show that this test is *consistent*:  $\mathbb{Q}(M_n \leq c_n) \rightarrow 0$ . (Side remark: the content of the Neyman-Pearson lemma is that this test is most powerful among all test with significance level less than or equal to  $\alpha$ .)

## Week 10

1. Let  $\mu, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$  be probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$  iff for all bounded Lipschitz continuous functions one has  $\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu$ . (*Hint: for one implication the proof of lemma 17.2 is instructive.*)
2. Show the ‘if part’ of lemma 17.2 without referring to the Skorohod representation. *First you take for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  a  $K > 0$  such that  $F(K) - F(-K) > 1 - \varepsilon$  (why does such a  $K$  exist?). Approximate a continuous  $f$  on the interval  $(-K, K]$  with a piecewise constant function and you compute the integrals of this approximating function and use the convergence of the  $F_n(x)$  at continuity points  $x$  of  $F$  etc.*
3. If the random variables  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  are defined on the same probability space and if  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow{w} X$ . Prove this.
4. Suppose that  $X_n \xrightarrow{w} X$  and that the collection  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is uniformly integrable (you make a minor change in the definition of this notion if the  $X_n$  are defined on different probability spaces). Use the Skorohod representation to show that  $X_n \xrightarrow{w} X$  implies  $\mathbb{E}X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}X$ .
5. Show the following variation on Fatou’s lemma: if  $X_n \xrightarrow{w} X$ , then  $\mathbb{E}|X| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|X_n|$ .
6. Show that the weak limit of a sequence of probability measures is unique.
7. The proof of the Helly-Bray lemma that I gave was not complete. You show that the  $F_{n_k}(c_i)$  converge for all  $c_i$  (the  $n_k$  were obtained by the Cantor-type diagonalization procedure) and that  $F_{n_k}(x)$  converges to  $F(x)$  at all continuity points  $x$  of  $F$ .

## Week 11

1. Consider the  $N(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)$  distributions, where the  $\mu_n$  are real numbers and the  $\sigma_n^2$  nonnegative. Show that this family is tight iff the sequences  $(\mu_n)$  and  $(\sigma_n^2)$  are bounded. Under what condition do we have that the  $N(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)$  distributions converge to a (weak) limit? What is this limit?
2. For each  $n$  we have a sequence  $\xi_{n1}, \dots, \xi_{nk_n}$  of independent random variables with  $\mathbb{E}\xi_{nj} = 0$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \text{Var} \xi_{nj} = 1$ . If  $\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E}|\xi_{nj}|^{2+\delta} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \xi_{nj} \xrightarrow{w} N(0, 1)$ . Show that this follows from the Lindeberg Central Limit Theorem.
3. Show that  $X_n \xrightarrow{w} X$  iff  $\mathbb{E}f(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}f(X)$  for all bounded uniformly continuous functions  $f$ .
4. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent, assume that  $Y$  has a  $N(0, 1)$  distribution. Let  $\sigma > 0$ . Let  $\phi$  be the characteristic function of  $X$ :  $\phi(u) = \mathbb{E} \exp(iuX)$ .
  - (a) Show that  $Z = X + \sigma Y$  has density  $p(z) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathbb{E} \exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(z - X)^2)$ .
  - (b) Show that  $p(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma} \int \phi(-y/\sigma) \exp(iyz/\sigma - \frac{1}{2}y^2) dy$ .
5. Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of random variables and  $Y$  a  $N(0, 1)$ -distributed random variable independent of that sequence. Let  $\phi_n$  be the characteristic function of  $X_n$  and  $\phi$  that of  $X$ . Let  $p_n$  be the density of  $X_n + \sigma Y$  and  $p$  the density of  $X + \sigma Y$ .
  - (a) If  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  pointwise, then  $p_n \rightarrow p$  pointwise. Invoke the previous exercise and the dominated convergence theorem to show this.
  - (b) Let  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$  be bounded by  $B$ . Show that  $|\mathbb{E}f(X_n + \sigma Y) - \mathbb{E}f(X + \sigma Y)| \leq 2B \int (p(z) - p_n(z))^+ dz$ .
  - (c) Show that  $|\mathbb{E}f(X_n + \sigma Y) - \mathbb{E}f(X + \sigma Y)| \rightarrow 0$  if  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  pointwise.
  - (d) Prove the following theorem:  $X_n \xrightarrow{w} X$  iff  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  pointwise.
6. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be an *iid* sequence having a distribution function  $F$ , a density (w.r.t. Lebesgue measure)  $f$ . Let  $m$  be such that  $F(m) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Assume that  $f(m) > 0$  and that  $n$  is odd,  $n = 2k - 1$ , say ( $k = \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)$ ).
  - (a) Show that  $m$  is the unique solution of the equation  $F(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ . We call  $m$  the *median* of the distribution of  $X_1$ .
  - (b) The *sample median*  $M_n$  of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is by definition  $X_k$ . Show that with  $U_{nj} = 1_{\{X_j \leq m + n^{-1/2}x\}}$  we have
 
$$\mathbb{P}(n^{1/2}(M_n - m) \leq x) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_j U_{nj} \geq k\right).$$
  - (c) Let  $p_n = \mathbb{P}U_{nj}$ ,  $b_n = (np_n(1 - p_n))^{1/2}$ ,  $\xi_{nj} = (U_{nj} - p_n)/b_n$ ,  $Z_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_{nj}$ ,  $t_n = (k - np_n)/b_n$ . Rewrite the probabilities in part 6b as  $\mathbb{P}(Z_n \geq t_n)$  and show that  $t_n \rightarrow t := -2xf(m)$ .



- (d) Show that  $\mathbb{P}(Z_n \geq t) \rightarrow 1 - \Phi(t)$ , where  $\Phi$  is the standard normal distribution.
- (e) Show that  $\mathbb{P}(Z_n \geq t_n) \rightarrow \Phi(2f(m)x)$  and conclude that the *Central Limit Theorem for the sample median* holds:

$$2f(m)n^{1/2}(M_n - m) \xrightarrow{w} N(0, 1).$$

## Week 12

1. Consider the sequence of ‘tents’  $(X^n)$ , where  $X_t^n = nt$  for  $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2n}]$ ,  $X_t^n = 1 - nt$  for  $t \in [\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{n}]$ , and zero elsewhere (there is no randomness here). Show that all finite dimensional distributions of the  $X^n$  converge, but  $X^n$  does not converge in distribution.
2. Show that  $\rho$  as in (1.1) defines a metric.
3. Suppose that the  $\xi_i$  of section 4 are *iid* normally distributed random variables. Use Doob’s inequality to obtain  $\mathbb{P}(\max_{j \leq n} |S_j| > \gamma) \leq 3\gamma^{-4}n^2$ .
4. Show that a finite dimensional projection on  $C[0, \infty)$  (with the metric  $\rho$ ) is continuous.
5. Consider  $C[0, \infty)$  with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  induced by  $\rho$  and some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . If  $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (C[0, \infty), \mathcal{B})$  is measurable, then all maps  $\omega \mapsto X_t(\omega)$  are random variables. Show this, as well as its converse. For the latter you need separability that allows you to say that the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  is a product  $\sigma$ -algebra (see also Williams, page 82).
6. Prove proposition 2.2 of the lecture notes.