

## EXERCISES PORTFOLIO THEORY

1. Give the details of the proof on the bottom of page 11 of the lecture notes. Adjust the proof for the possible case where  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  is an empty set.
2. Let  $\preceq$  be an ordering on the commodity space  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Assume that  $\preceq$  is transitive, complete (= linear), monotone and continuous. Let  $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)^\top$  and consider for given  $x \in \mathcal{B}$  the sets  $U = \{\alpha \geq 0 : \alpha x \preceq x\}$  and  $L = \{\alpha \geq 0 : \alpha x \succeq x\}$ . Show that (i)  $L \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and that (ii) actually this intersection consists of one point only.
3. Assume that an ordering  $\preceq$  on the space of lotteries satisfies the *independence* property. Show that for all lotteries  $L, L', L''$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  the following properties hold.
  - (a)  $L \prec L' \Leftrightarrow \alpha L + (1 - \alpha)L'' \prec \alpha L' + (1 - \alpha)L''$
  - (b)  $L \approx L' \Leftrightarrow \alpha L + (1 - \alpha)L'' \approx \alpha L' + (1 - \alpha)L''$
  - (c) If  $L \prec L'$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then  $L \prec \alpha L + (1 - \alpha)L' \prec L'$ .
  - (d) Let  $L \prec L'$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\beta L + (1 - \beta)L' \prec \alpha L + (1 - \alpha)L'$  iff  $\beta < \alpha$ .
4. Let  $\preceq$  be an ordering on the space of lotteries that satisfies the *transitivity*, *completeness*, *continuity* and *independence* properties. Assume  $L \prec L' \prec L''$ . Show that there exists a unique  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\alpha L + (1 - \alpha)L'' \approx L'$ .
5. Let  $V$  be a utility function on the space of lotteries with respect to some preference ordering. Show that  $V$  is of expected utility form if and only if it is linear (for convex combinations we have  $V(\sum \alpha_i L_i) = \sum \alpha_i V(L_i)$ ).
6. Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a concave function (in short:  $f(tx + (1 - t)y) \geq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y)$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ). Show the following property: for all  $v \in I$  there exists a constant  $c_v$  such that for all  $x \in I$  one has  $f(x) \leq f(v) + c_v(x - v)$ . (*Hint*: For all  $u < v < w$  it holds that

$$\frac{f(v) - f(u)}{v - u} \geq \frac{f(w) - f(v)}{w - v},$$

and both ratios are monotone functions of  $u$  and  $v$  respectively.) Show also that concavity of  $f$  follows if the afore mentioned property holds.

7. Assume that an ordering  $\preceq$  on the space of lotteries can be represented by an expected utility form. Show that  $\preceq$  satisfies the *independence* property.
8. Let  $U$  be an increasing and concave utility function  $U : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Consider the fair game represented by a random variable  $\xi$  with values  $x \pm \varepsilon$  in  $I$  that are attained with equal probabilities  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Given  $x, \varepsilon$ , the *probability premium*  $\pi = \pi(x, \varepsilon)$  is by definition such that the lottery with the same

outcomes but with probability  $\mathbb{P}(\xi = x - \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} - \pi$  has expected utility  $U(x)$ . Show that an individual with utility function  $U$  is risk averse iff  $\pi(x, \varepsilon) \geq 0$  for all  $x, \varepsilon$ . Sketch a picture of the graph of  $U$  and construct  $\pi(x, \varepsilon)$ .

9. Consider a strictly risk averse decision maker with utility function  $U$ , who has an initial wealth of  $w$  euro. He is faced with a potential loss of  $\ell$  euro (on some specified future date). The probability that the loss occurs is  $p$ . To protect against loss, he can buy an insurance paying out 1 euro per purchased unit of insurance, if the loss occurs (otherwise nothing). The cost of the insurance is  $p$  euro per unit (check that this makes the insurance *fair*). Suppose that he buys  $\alpha$  units of insurance. Let  $X(\alpha)$  be the (binary) random variable representing his resulting wealth on the future date. Let  $\alpha^*$  be the optimal number of purchased insurance units in the sense that  $\alpha \mapsto \mathbb{E}U(X(\alpha))$  is maximized at  $\alpha^*$ . Show that  $\alpha^* = \ell$ . What is the (nonrandom!) resulting value of  $X$ ?
10. Let  $U$  be a twice differentiable utility function, such that the Arrow-Pratt coefficient of risk  $R(x) = -U''(x)/U'(x)$  is a constant  $\alpha > 0$  not depending on  $x$ .
  - (a) Show that there exist constants  $a > 0$  and  $b$  such that  $U(x) = ae^{-\alpha x} + b$ .
  - (b) If the column vector  $Z$  has a  $d$ -dimensional multivariate normal  $N(\mu, \Sigma)$  distribution, then  $\mathbb{E} \exp(u^\top Z) = \exp(u^\top \mu + \frac{1}{2} u^\top \Sigma u)$  for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Show this.
  - (c) Consider an investor with utility function  $U$  who wants to invest an initial capital  $X_0$ . There is one riskless asset with return  $r_0$  and  $n$  risky assets with random returns  $r_i$ . Suppose that the random vector  $(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  has a multivariate normal  $N(\mu, \Sigma)$  distribution with nonsingular covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . Find the optimal investment strategy  $\pi^*$  (notation of Lemma 4.10).
11. In exercise 10 the optimization problem turns out to be of the form: maximize  $\mathbb{E}Z - c\text{Var} Z$ . This seems reasonable, if one thinks of  $Z$  as a random revenue. One wants to maximize the expected revenue and to keep the 'risk' in terms of variance low. In general such a maximization problem leads to odd results. Consider the following example. In a first lottery the random pay-off  $Z$  satisfies  $\mathbb{P}(Z = 1) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{P}(Z = 0) = 0$ , where as in a second lottery  $\mathbb{P}(Z = 1) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(Z = 0) = p > 0$ . Find an example of values of  $p$  and  $c$  such that the second lottery is preferred to the first one.
12. Consider a twice differentiable utility function  $U : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Define for fixed  $x$  such that  $tx \in I$  the function  $t \mapsto v_x(t) = U(tx)$ . A way to establish the *relative* risk around  $x$  can be obtained by inspection of  $v_x(t)$  in a neighbourhood of  $t = 1$ . A measure of relative risk at  $x$  is given by

$r(x) = -v''_x(1)/v'_x(1)$ . Show that  $r(x) = xR(x)$  ( $R(x)$  the Arrow-Pratt risk measure).

13. A utility function  $U$  is said to exhibit decreasing risk aversion if the function  $x \mapsto R(x)$  is decreasing. Show that this property is equivalent to saying that for every  $x_1 < x_2$  there exists a concave function  $g$  such that  $U(x_2 + z) = g(U(x_1 + z))$  for all  $z$  (for which the given expressions make sense).
14. *In this exercise (and the next one) on Stochastic Domination you should reply the  $1 + r_i$  and  $1 + r_j$  in Definition 5.1 with other in this context relevant random variables.*  
 Consider a lottery with random outcome  $\xi$ . Given the outcome of this lottery the result of a second lottery with random outcome  $\eta$  (independent of  $\xi$ ) is added to it. Assume that  $\mathbb{P}(\eta \geq 0) = 1$ . The final result is  $\zeta = \xi + \eta$ . Show that  $\zeta \succeq_{FSD} \xi$ . Conversely, show that there exists a random variable  $\eta$  that is independent of  $\xi$  with  $\mathbb{P}(\eta \geq 0) = 1$ , if  $\zeta \succeq_{FSD} \xi$ .<sup>1</sup>
15. Consider the two lotteries in the previous exercise, but assume that  $\eta$  has mean zero, instead of being a.s. nonnegative. Show that  $\xi \succeq_{SSD} \zeta$ . Show that also the converse statement holds true.
16. Consider Example 3, of *Korn*, page 10. Solve the dual optimization problem (i.e. of the type of equation (3)) under the constraint that  $\pi_1 + \frac{3}{2}\pi_2 \geq 5/4 + \sqrt{6}/12$ . Do this both analytically and graphically. Verify the assertion of Proposition 1.
17. Requirement (14) (*Korn*, page 29) together with the basic model assumptions of Chapter 2 imply that  $\mathbb{E}H(T)B < \infty$ . Show this. *Hint: Let  $Z = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\theta(s)\|^2 ds - \int_0^T \theta(s)^\top dW(s))$ . Show that for any  $\nu > 0$   $\mathbb{E}Z^\nu < \infty$ . Apply Hölder's inequality to  $B(T)Z$ .*
18. Let  $X$  be a nonnegative local martingale (with  $X(0) = 0$ ). Show that  $X$  is a supermartingale. (*You may want to use a famous lemma.*)
19. Look at Remark 8c of Chapter 2 (*Korn*). The claim is that the 'hedge' portfolio process is unique (in some sense,  $L$  is Lebesgue measure on  $[0, T]$ ). Show this. (I don't understand immediately how Korn derives the equality at the bottom of page 28.)
20. Much of the theory of section 2.3 (*Korn*) goes through (given all the assumptions) for the case  $m > n$ , with some appropriate modifications. Give a quick review of this and show that things break down in the proof of Theorem 7b.
21. About Theorem 7b of Chapter 2 (*Korn*): Consider two consumption rate processes  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  satisfying the assumptions. How are the corresponding

---

<sup>1</sup>If necessary, you may assume that  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are bounded.

portfolio processes  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are related? (I have no idea what comes out of this, just give it a try).

22. Go to page 46 of *Korn*, step 2. There are here and there some errors in the computations. Give the correct version (of course, the final answer is correct).
23. Prove theorem C2a (*Korn*, page 324). *Apply the Itô rule to  $\tilde{v}(T, X_T)$ , then you take expectations. Convince yourself that either the (local)martingale term has expectation zero under the assumptions of Chapter 2, or use an appropriate sequence of stopping times  $T_n$  to justify this property by studying  $\tilde{v}(T_n, X_{T_n})$ .* By similar reasoning you can also prove part b of this theorem.
24. Simple dynamic programming exercise. Printed version will be handed over.
25. I don't believe that *in general* Korn's statement on page 325: "Theorem C2 stays correct if in the HJB-equation we replace  $L(t, x, u)$  by  $L(x, u) - \rho v(t, x)$ " holds true. But, show that it is true for  $t = 0$ . If the SDE for the controlled diffusion has coefficients that are independent of time (like in equation (2), page 320), then you show that  $v(t, x) = e^{-\rho t} v(0, x)$ , if one considers the *infinite horizon* problem on page 325. Then Korn's statement applies and the HJB-equation (8) for  $v(x) = v(0, x)$  holds true.
26. Show that the expectation in problem (P) (*Korn*, page 49) is finite for the optimal wealth process  $X^*$ . Try also to compute it explicitly (if this can be done within a reasonable time span).
27. Prove the assertion of Corollary 7\* (page 53 in *Korn*).
28. The utility functions  $U_\gamma$  are for  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  defined as  $U_\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma} x^\gamma$  for  $x > 0$ . Notice that  $U'_\gamma(x) = x^{\gamma-1}$ . It is common to define (as kind of a limit)  $U_0$  as  $U_0(x) = \log x$ . Solve the infinite horizon optimization problem (P) on page 49 of *Korn* via the HJB approach .
29. Take  $U_0$  as in exercise 28. Solve the finite horizon problem (P) on page 55 of *Korn* for this case via the HJB approach.
30. Same questions as in exercises 28 and 29 for exponential utility:  $U(x) = \alpha - \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \exp(-\gamma x)$ ,  $x > 0$  for positive parameters  $\beta, \gamma$ .
31. Solve the finite horizon problem (P) on page 55 for the case of power utility ( $U_1(x) = U_2(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma} x^\gamma$ ) via the Martingale approach. What is the solution for the corresponding infinite horizon problem with  $U_2 = 0$ ?
32. Same questions as in exercise 31 for exponential utility:  $U_1(x) = U_2(x) = \alpha - \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \exp(-\gamma x)$ ,  $x > 0$  for positive parameters  $\beta, \gamma$ .

33. Compute along the lines of Example 24 in *Korn* the optimal consumption process and optimal portfolio process when  $U_1(t, x) = \exp(-\mu t) \log x$ ,  $U_2(x) = \log x$ . Check the result in the special case  $\mu = 0$  with Example 19.
34. Consider a Cox-Ross-Rubinstein market with time set  $\{0, \dots, T\}$ . In this market the bond price evolves as  $B_t = (1 + r)^t$  and the stock price  $S$  satisfies  $S_t = \prod_{s=1}^t Z_s$ . The filtration is given by  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{Z_1, \dots, Z_t\}$ . Under the risk neutral measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the  $Z_s$  are *iid* with  $\mathbb{Q}(Z_1 = u) = q = 1 - \mathbb{Q}(Z_s = d)$  (assume that  $0 < d < 1 + r < u$  and recall that  $q = (1 + r - d)/(u - d)$ ). We consider an American put option with payoff at time  $t$  given by  $f_t = (K - S_t)^+$ . Let  $P_t$  be the fair price of this option at time  $t$ .

- (a) Show that  $P_t = p(t, S_t)$ , where  $p(T, x) = (K - x)^+$  and for  $t \leq T - 1$  it holds that

$$p(t, x) = \max\left\{(K - x)^+, \frac{\mu(t + 1, x)}{1 + r}\right\},$$

where  $\mu(t + 1, x) = (1 - q)p(t + 1, xd) + qp(t + 1, xu)$ .

- (b) Show that

$$p(0, x) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[(1 + r)^{-\tau} (K - xV_{\tau})^+],$$

where  $\mathcal{T}$  is the set of stopping times bounded by  $T$  and where for fixed  $t$  the random variable  $V_t$  can be written as a product  $V_t = \prod_{i=1}^t U_i$  of *iid* under  $\mathbb{Q}$  random variables  $U_i$ . Give the distribution of  $(U_1, \dots, U_T)$  under  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- (c) Show that  $x \mapsto p(0, x)$  is convex and non-increasing.
- (d) Assume that  $d < 1$ . Show that there exists  $x^* \in [0, K]$  such that  $p(0, x) = (K - x)^+$  if  $x \in [0, x^*]$  and that  $p(0, x) > (K - x)^+$  if  $x \in (x^*, Kd^{-T})$ .
- (e) Suppose that you owe an American put at time  $t = 0$ . For which values of  $S_0$  would you immediately exercise your option?
- (f) Compute a hedge strategy for the American put for  $T = 2$  and the fair prices  $P_t$  for  $t = 0, 1, 2$ .
35. Show that the function  $P$  in Lemma 8.2.8 of Elliott & Kopp is convex and non-increasing in each of its arguments.
36. Show that the derivative  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} P$  (notation of section 8.2) exists in  $(t, x)$  such that  $P(x, t) > (K - x)^+$ .
37. Give the full proof (including details) of proposition 8.5.2.